

Criteria		Evaluation items	Points
Scientific-technical aspects of the proposal	Quality, novelty and originality	-Hypothesis and Objectives are properly aligned. 5 points -Novelty of the proposal, originality in the conceptual and/or experimental approach. 5 points -The proposal contributes to extend the state-of-the-art, providing new insights and widening the scientific knowledge in the research field. 5 points	15
	Viability and workplan	-The methodology is clearly described and it is appropriate for the suitable development of the project. 3 points -Adequation of milestones and equipment to the pipeline of the project. 3 points -Adequation of tasks distribution along participants and budget allocation. 2 points -The risks are identified, and mitigation plans are included. 2 points	10
	Impact	-Foreseen applicability and implementation of the solution. 5 points -Expected economic and social impact. 5 points	10
Curriculum of the PI		Evaluation of the principal investigator profile and adequation of the research production to the scientific field of the project. This evaluation will consider: -Scientific production and the impact on scientific community. 10 points -Leadership in competitive projects, specially to those which are related with scientific field of the proposal. 10 points -Intellectual and industrial property protection. 5 points	25
Curriculum of the co-PI		Holistic evaluation of the co-principal investigator profile and complementarity for the proposal development. 5 points	5
Transversal collaborative project		Applicable to all transversal collaborative projects (according to the definition of this call; see section 2):	15

	<p>Is this project entailing a collaboration between an IP (or co-IP) affiliated to a healthcare centre and an IP (or co-IP) affiliated to a non-healthcare centre?</p> <p>Yes: 15 points No: 0 points</p>	
Others	<p>Adaptation of the proposal to the strategic lines of the Diputació de Lleida and the IRBLleida (Annex III):</p> <p>Is the proposal addressing one, or more than one, of the following scientific fields?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health of children, young people, and women. • Nutrition, obesity, and sedentary lifestyle. • Aging. • Mental health. • Chronic diseases, frailty, alternatives to hospitalization and institutionalisation. • Territorial equity (accessibility, emergencies, technology) • Healthy territories, exposure to pesticide, insecticide, and fungicide contaminants. • Cardiovascular • Neurology and neurosciences. • Cancer. <p>Yes: 5 points No: 0 points</p>	5
	Implementation of RRI policies (Annex IV):	5

	<p>-Gender equality in the teams and in their decision-making bodies. Gender perspective addressed along the proposal. 0-2 points</p> <p>-Citizen participation considering various actors who can participate throughout the process to align the results with the values, needs and expectations of society. 0-1 points</p> <p>-Scientific education: Improving the educational process to equip citizens with the skills and knowledge necessary to understand and participate in research and innovation debates. 0-1 points</p> <p>-Accessibility to the information generated, both at the beginning of the research and at the end (publications in open access). 0-1 points</p>	
	<p>One of the two co-PIs is the head of an emerging group or under the age of 45 (born 1980 or later). Yes: 5 points No: 0 points</p>	5
	Possibility of transfer to the SNS. 0-5 points	5
Maximum	Total sum	100